

Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

SB249
A3

AUG 18 2012

ENTERED IN THE COMPUTER

COTTON VARIETIES PLANTED

1953 - 1957

***UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Agricultural Marketing Service
Cotton Division***

***MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE
August 1957***

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
Cotton Division

COTTON VARIETIES PLANTED
1953-57

CONTENTS

	Table No.	Page No.
Introduction		1
Leading Varieties.		1
United States Map.		2
Varieties by States and United States.	1	3
Varieties by States and Counties:		
Alabama		
Varieties by State	8	10
Varieties by Counties.	9	11
Arizona		
Varieties by State	24	26
Varieties by Counties.	25	27
Arkansas		
Varieties by State	15	16
Varieties by Counties.	16	17
California		
Varieties by State	24	26
Varieties by Counties.	25	27
Florida		
Varieties by State	23	26
Georgia		
Varieties by State	6	8
Varieties by Counties.	7	9
Illinois		
Varieties by State	23	26
Kentucky		
Varieties by State	23	26
Louisiana		
Varieties by State	17	18
Varieties by Parishes.	18	19
Mississippi		
Varieties by State	10	12
Varieties by Counties.	11	13
Missouri		
Varieties by State	23	26
Varieties by Counties.	14	15
New Mexico		
Varieties by State	24	26
Varieties by Counties.	25	27
North Carolina		
Varieties by State	2	4
Varieties by Counties.	3	5
Oklahoma		
Varieties by State	19	20
Varieties by Counties.	20	21
South Carolina		
Varieties by State	4	6
Varieties by Counties.	5	7
Tennessee		
Varieties by State	12	14
Varieties by Counties.	13	15
Texas		
Varieties by State	21	22-23
Varieties by Counties.	22	24-25
Texas-District 6		
Varieties by District.	24	26
Varieties by Counties.	25	27
Virginia		
Varieties by State	23	26

P. O. Box 8074, Crosstown Station

Memphis, Tennessee

August 1957

Cotton Varieties Planted, United States

1953-57

This report contains estimates of the percentage of the various varieties of cotton planted in the United States in 1957, by counties, districts, and states. Comparative variety data for the four years 1953-56 are shown by districts and states. A preliminary report presenting the percentage distribution of cotton varieties planted by counties and districts in the early harvesting area of south Texas was issued in late July.

The variety data presented herein are based principally on estimates furnished by cotton ginner for the territories served by their gins. These estimates are supplemented by information supplied by other persons closely associated with cotton culture in their respective areas, such as seed breeders and dealers, and county agents.

These estimates indicate that four general varieties account for nearly three-fourths of the cotton acreage in the United States in 1957. These leading varieties are Deltapine, Acala, Coker, and Lankart, in their order of relative importance. Deltapine accounts for 31 percent of the United States cotton acreage compared with 34 percent in the previous season. Acala accounts for 21 percent of the 1957 total against 17 percent in 1956. Acreage planted to Coker accounts for 12 percent of the season's total compared with 13 percent in the preceding season. Lankart remains in fourth place among the leading varieties and in 1957 accounts for 9 percent of total acreage. A year earlier, this variety accounted for 10 percent of the United States acreage.

Coker continues as the leading variety in the southeastern area; Deltapine is the predominating variety in the south central area; Lankart is the leader in the southwestern area; and in the far western area, Acala is by far the principal variety planted.

In the southeastern area of the belt, Coker 100-W accounts for about 65 percent of the total acreage. The proportions planted to this variety range from 99 percent in North Carolina to 34 percent in Alabama. Empire is the second variety accounting for 15 percent of the acreage in the southeastern area. Deltapine is third with 11 percent of the total.

In the south central area of the belt, Deltapine accounts for about 69 percent of the total cotton acreage. This is a slight decrease from a year earlier, but Deltapine is still the leading variety in all south central states with the exception of Missouri where it is surpassed by Delfos. Delfos is the second principal variety accounting for 11 percent of the total acreage. Stoneville, Fox, and Empire account for 6, 5, and 4 percent of the total acreage, respectively.

In the southwestern area of the belt, the principal varieties planted in their order of relative importance are Lankart, Deltapine, Acala, and Northern Star. These four varieties account for about 63 percent of the 1957 acreage compared with 70 percent a year earlier. About 32 percent of the Texas-Oklahoma acreage was planted to Lankart in 1957; Deltapine is second with 15 percent of the total; and Acala and Northern Star accounts for 9 and 7 percent of the total, respectively.

In the far western area of the belt, the predominating variety accounts for 94 percent of the 1957 acreage in this area compared with 95 percent a year earlier. Acala accounts for about 97 percent of the California acreage; 93 percent in Arizona; 80 percent in New Mexico; and 85 percent in District 6 of Texas. Pima S-1 is the leading American-Egyptian variety with practically the entire acreage of extra long staple cotton planted in this variety.

The data presented in this report are estimates which should serve as reliable guides as to the geographic distribution and the proportions of the various varieties of cotton planted throughout the Cotton Belt.


Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, United States, 1953-57

Year	Acala	Coker 100-W	Delfos	Deltapine	Empire	Fox	Lankart	Northern Star	Rowden	Stone- ville	Other 1/	Total
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
1953	21	15	1	31	4	2	3	1	3	4	12	100
1954	19	13	1	31	4	3	6	3	3	4	14	100
1955	21	12	2	31	4	2	7	3	2	3	15	100
1956	17	13	4	34	5	2	10	3	1	3	8	100
1957	21	12	4	31	5	2	9	2	*	3	11	100


* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

UNITED STATES Districts for Cotton Quality Reports



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 2. North Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1953-57

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Moore Special	Other ^{1/}	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1							
1953	132	96	2	1	-	1	100
1954	88	97	1	1	-	1	100
1955	136	98	1	*	-	1	100
1956	76	97	1	2	*	*	100
1957	-	96	*	3	*	1	100
District 2							
1953	169	99	*	-	*	1	100
1954	151	99	*	*	-	*	100
1955	111	99	*	*	-	*	100
1956	157	99	1	*	-	-	100
1957	-	99	*	*	*	*	100
District 3							
1953	163	100	*	*	-	*	100
1954	146	98	1	*	*	1	100
1955	113	99	*	*	*	*	100
1956	133	99	*	*	*	*	100
1957	-	99	*	*	*	*	100
State							
1953	464	98	1	*	*	1	100
1954	385	98	1	*	*	1	100
1955	360	99	1	*	*	*	100
1956	366	98	1	1	*	*	100
1957	-	99	*	1	*	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

^{1/} Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 3. North Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1957

District and County	Gins	1956 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Deltapine	Empire	Moore Special	Rucker	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1									
Anson	11	9.8	97	*	1	-	-	2	100
Cabarrus	7	1.9	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Catawba	6	1.0	79	-	17	-	4	-	100
Cleveland	24	25.4	99	1	-	-	-	-	100
Iredell	9	5.3	96	1	2	-	-	1	100
Lincoln	10	6.0	99	-	-	-	-	1	100
Mecklenburg	6	4.7	99	1	-	-	-	-	100
Polk	3	1.3	87	-	13	-	-	-	100
Rowan	3	2.2	71	*	25	3	-	1	100
Rutherford	9	3.6	84	1	10	-	1	4	100
Stanley	6	2.0	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Union	19	10.7	95	*	5	-	-	-	100
All Other	5	2.1	97	-	3	-	-	-	100
Total	118	76.0	96	*	3	*	*	*	100
			Coker 100-W	Coker 124	Deltapine	Empire	Moore Special	Other 1/	Total
District 2									
Bertie	4	5.4	99	-	-	*	1	-	100
Chowan	3	2.8	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Edgecombe	6	9.2	100	*	-	-	-	-	100
Franklin	10	5.4	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Gates	3	1.5	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Halifax	17	23.6	100	*	*	-	-	-	100
Hertford	3	4.7	97	1	-	1	-	1	100
Johnston	20	17.5	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Nash	13	13.4	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Northampton	17	24.4	97	-	2	1	-	-	100
Perquimans	4	1.0	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Pitt	5	8.7	97	1	2	-	-	-	100
Wake	8	2.9	99	1	-	-	-	-	100
Warren	11	5.0	98	2	-	-	-	-	100
Wayne	12	10.1	100	*	-	-	-	-	100
Wilson	8	9.9	99	*	-	-	-	1	100
All Other	9	11.5	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	153	157.0	99	*	*	*	*	*	100
			Coker 100-W	Coker 124	Deltapine	Empire	Moore Special	Other 1/	Total
District 3									
Bladen	4	1.3	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Cumberland	10	9.1	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Duplin	4	2.3	99	1	-	-	-	-	100
Harnet	14	18.2	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Hoke	4	9.6	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Lee	3	2.2	99	*	-	-	-	1	100
Richmond	4	2.2	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Robeson	26	43.9	99	*	*	*	*	*	100
Sampson	18	18.9	99	-	-	*	-	1	100
Scotland	10	19.7	100	-	-	-	*	-	100
All Other	4	5.9	100	-	-	-	*	-	100
Total	101	133.3	99	*	*	*	*	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 4. South Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1953-57

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Empire	White Gold	Other ^{1/}	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1								
1953	167	99	*	-	-	*	1	100
1954	121	99	-	-	-	*	1	100
1955	207	97	1	-	2	-	*	100
1956	101	99	*	-	1	-	*	100
1957	-	97	1	*	2	*	*	100
District 2								
1953	356	99	*	-	*	*	1	100
1954	273	99	*	-	-	-	1	100
1955	235	99	*	-	*	-	1	100
1956	279	99	-	-	*	-	1	100
1957	-	98	*	1	1	-	*	100
District 3								
1953	176	98	1	-	*	-	1	100
1954	127	97	-	-	-	*	3	100
1955	124	99	*	-	*	-	1	100
1956	142	99	-	-	*	-	1	100
1957	-	98	*	1	1	-	*	100
State								
1953	699	99	*	-	*	*	1	100
1954	521	99	-	-	-	*	*	100
1955	566	99	*	-	*	-	*	100
1956	522	99	*	-	*	-	*	100
1957	-	98	*	1	1	*	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

^{1/} Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 5. South Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1957

District and County	Gins	1956 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Empire	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1								
Abbeville	5	4.4	90	-	3	7	-	100
Anderson	25	16.5	95	1	1	3	-	100
Cherokee	9	4.2	95	2	-	3	*	100
Chester	10	5.7	100	-	-	-	-	100
Edgefield	8	7.5	98	1	-	1	-	100
Fairfield	6	3.2	100	-	-	-	-	100
Greenville	16	7.5	96	1	-	3	*	100
Greenwood	5	2.0	95	-	3	2	-	100
Laurens	13	7.5	99	-	-	*	1	100
McCormick	3	1.6	98	1	-	1	-	100
Newberry	7	4.7	93	1	-	5	1	100
Oconee	7	4.0	100	-	-	-	-	100
Pickens	7	3.8	98	-	-	2	-	100
Saluda	6	4.2	97	-	-	2	1	100
Spartanburg	30	10.1	94	2	-	3	1	100
Union	4	2.6	97	-	-	2	1	100
York	14	11.4	100	-	-	-	-	100
Total	175	100.9	97	1	*	2	*	100
			Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Empire	Other 1/	Total
District 2								
Chesterfield	16	18.4	99	-	*	1	-	100
Clarendon	14	30.0	98	-	1	1	-	100
Darlington	11	17.1	100	-	*	-	-	100
Dillon	10	17.2	94	-	*	4	2	100
Florence	17	34.0	99	-	-	1	-	100
Horry	3	2.8	99	-	*	1	-	100
Kershaw	6	8.6	99	-	-	1	-	100
Lancaster	9	7.4	99	-	-	1	-	100
Lee	15	37.6	99	-	*	1	-	100
Marion	5	6.7	100	*	-	-	-	100
Marlboro	17	28.6	96	*	1	3	*	100
Richland	4	4.3	98	*	-	2	-	100
Sumter	22	41.0	98	*	1	1	-	100
Williamsburg	9	23.7	95	1	1	3	*	100
All Other	1	2.4	98	2	-	-	-	100
Total	159	279.8	98	*	1	1	*	100
			Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Empire	Other 1/	Total
District 3								
Aiken	10	10.5	100	-	*	-	-	100
Allendale	5	6.4	100	-	-	-	-	100
Bamberg	5	9.8	99	-	1	-	-	100
Barnwell	8	10.0	100	-	*	-	-	100
Calhoun	8	13.3	90	*	1	4	5	100
Colleton	8	7.6	95	-	3	2	*	100
Dorchester	5	10.1	97	1	1	1	-	100
Hampton	6	7.9	99	-	1	-	-	100
Lexington	7	7.8	99	-	-	-	1	100
Orangeburg	32	54.2	98	-	1	1	*	100
All Other	3	4.0	98	1	1	-	-	100
Total	97	141.6	98	*	1	1	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 6. Georgia: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and states, 1953-57.

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Plains	Stone-ville	Stone-wilt	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1										
1953	295	57	10	28	-	-	2	1	2	100
1954	215	57	8	30	1	-	1	1	2	100
1955	288	56	7	33	1	*	1	*	2	100
1956	199	57	7	33	1	*	1	*	1	100
1957	-	57	7	35	-	*	1	-	*	100
District 2										
1953	273	54	20	18	-	2	3	*	3	100
1954	246	50	16	23	3	4	2	*	2	100
1955	249	50	17	23	3	5	1	1	*	100
1956	231	47	14	29	1	7	1	1	*	100
1957	-	42	13	30	1	13	*	-	1	100
District 3										
1953	184	75	6	13	-	*	1	2	3	100
1954	154	69	5	17	2	1	1	4	1	100
1955	157	66	5	17	1	1	1	5	4	100
1956	149	69	6	17	*	1	1	1	5	100
1957	-	68	4	23	-	2	1	1	1	100
State										
1953	752	60	13	21	-	1	2	1	2	100
1954	615	58	10	24	2	2	2	1	1	100
1955	694	56	11	25	2	2	1	2	1	100
1956	579	57	9	28	1	3	1	1	*	100
1957	-	53	9	30	1	6	1	*	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 7. Georgia: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1957

District and County	Gins	1956 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Plains	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
1,000									
District 1									
Banks	3	2.1	60	5	35	-	-	-	100
Barrow	5	5.4	24	2	74	-	-	-	100
Bartow	9	14.7	63	1	35	1	-	-	100
Carroll	10	6.7	54	-	46	•	•	•	100
Chattooga	3	3.6	15	8	77	-	-	-	100
Coweta	7	6.6	41	2	51	6	-	-	100
Elbert	5	5.3	40	16	44	-	-	-	100
Floyd	7	5.4	65	3	32	•	•	•	100
Forsyth	6	5.5	44	8	41	-	-	7	100
Franklin	9	6.5	60	7	33	-	-	-	100
Gordon	6	7.9	41	4	55	•	•	•	100
Greene	4	9	17	2	80	-	-	1	100
Gwinnett	9	2.3	59	2	36	-	-	3	100
Hall	5	6	55	-	25	19	1	1	100
Hancock	4	5.7	20	14	66	-	-	-	100
Haralson	4	1.1	54	-	46	-	-	-	100
Hart	7	7.6	60	2	36	1	1	1	100
Henry	7	6.9	69	13	16	2	-	-	100
Jackson	9	6.5	70	1	28	1	-	-	100
Jasper	4	2.9	40	43	17	-	-	-	100
Lamar	3	1.1	36	-	64	-	-	-	100
Madison	4	6.2	78	-	22	-	-	-	100
McDuffie	3	3.7	90	-	5	5	-	-	100
Meriwether	7	9.7	65	26	9	-	-	-	100
Morgan	10	9.4	82	3	15	-	-	-	100
Murray	3	2.6	30	-	70	-	-	-	100
Newton	4	3.6	61	22	17	-	-	-	100
Oconee	3	4.9	70	5	25	-	-	-	100
Oglethorpe	3	9	86	-	14	-	-	-	100
Paulding	3	1.1	7	-	93	-	-	-	100
Pike	4	4.6	65	-	35	-	-	-	100
Polk	4	4.5	43	1	55	1	•	•	100
Spalding	3	1.6	94	-	6	-	-	-	100
Walton	16	13.8	70	26	4	-	-	-	100
Warren	3	6.1	65	-	35	-	-	-	100
Wilkes	4	1.3	50	3	34	-	-	13	100
All Other	33	24.9	52	5	42	1	-	•	100
Total	233	199.2	57	7	35	•	1	•	100

• Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

District and County	Gins	1956 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Plains	Other 1/	Total
	Number	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
1,000									
District 2									
Bleckley	3	4.6	84	13	2	-	-	1	100
Brooks	4	5.0	56	5	24	5	10	-	100
Calhoun	4	6.6	78	7	14	-	1	-	100
Coffee	5	4.5	46	2	30	-	22	-	100
Colquitt	7	19.3	22	17	48	1	12	-	100
Crisp	3	12.9	25	5	11	-	58	1	100
Dodge	8	9.4	44	7	41	-	8	-	100
Dooley	9	16.6	38	36	12	-	14	-	100
Early	3	7.3	43	13	43	-	1	-	100
Irwin	3	6.3	10	-	90	-	-	-	100
Lowndes	2	1.7	51	6	37	-	6	-	100
Macon	5	7.4	63	1	12	8	2	14	100
Mitchell	5	9.2	59	5	33	-	3	-	100
Pulaski	4	8.4	31	13	28	-	27	1	100
Sumter	4	11.0	26	53	1	-	20	-	100
Taylor	5	9.3	33	-	67	-	-	-	100
Terrell	5	13.7	64	8	13	5	5	5	100
Thomas	3	5.1	38	9	12	-	41	-	100
Tift	4	9.2	33	5	51	1	10	-	100
Turner	4	8.8	21	9	55	2	13	-	100
Wilcox	5	8.5	46	3	24	-	27	-	100
Worth	5	7.8	33	11	41	-	15	-	100
All Other	30	38.3	51	14	27	2	6	•	100
Total	130	230.9	42	13	30	1	13	1	100
Coker 100-W Delta-pine Stone-ville Other 1/ Total									
District 3									
Bulloch	7	10.4	68	-	30	-	-	2	100
Burke	16	26.1	77	1	16	-	2	4	100
Candler	5	5.3	63	-	37	-	-	-	100
Emanuel	8	10.0	59	6	35	-	-	-	100
Glascock	3	3.0	49	2	47	-	2	-	100
Jefferson	8	9.9	83	4	12	-	1	-	100
Jenkins	3	7.3	70	-	23	-	1	6	100
Johnson	7	8.8	70	2	23	4	-	1	100
Laurens	9	18.2	51	21	17	10	-	1	100
Richmond	3	2.0	67	5	23	-	5	-	100
Screven	7	9.3	61	-	39	-	-	-	100
Toombs	5	5.8	50	9	25	1	•	15	100
Washington	7	11.0	93	-	6	1	•	-	100
All Other	17	22.0	66	3	25	1	-	5	100
Total	105	149.1	68	4	23	2	1	2	100




Table 8. Alabama: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1953-57

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Plains	Stone-ville	Stone-wilt	White Gold	Other ^{1/}	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1953	472	14	29	25	-	7	20	*	1	4	100
1954	329	13	28	35	1	8	11	-	1	3	100
1955	485	15	30	29	1	12	11	-	-	2	100
1956	376	17	27	33	2	12	7	-	1	1	100
1957	-	16	27	35	2	10	7	-	*	3	100
District 2											
1953	288	56	31	2	-	5	1	*	*	5	100
1954	216	54	33	3	3	4	1	-	-	2	100
1955	343	59	29	3	1	4	1	-	-	3	100
1956	230	57	27	7	1	5	*	-	-	3	100
1957	-	52	28	7	*	9	1	-	-	3	100
District 3											
1953	207	70	5	2	-	6	*	10	-	7	100
1954	194	67	9	2	-	11	1	7	-	3	100
1955	210	65	7	4	-	13	*	9	-	2	100
1956	140	61	7	4	-	20	*	5	-	3	100
1957	-	51	6	8	*	24	*	*	-	11	100
State											
1953	967	40	24	12	-	6	10	3	*	5	100
1954	739	37	25	19	1	8	6	1	1	2	100
1955	1,038	41	24	15	1	10	5	2	-	2	100
1956	746	39	23	19	1	12	3	1	*	2	100
1957	-	34	24	21	1	12	4	*	*	4	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

^{1/} Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 9. Alabama: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1957

District and County	Gins	1956 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Plains	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
Blount	17	11.6	61	4	27	-	-	5	1	2	100
Cherokee	18	20.1	18	16	20	7	-	23	14	2	100
Colbert	11	15.8	*	86	5	5	-	1	2	1	100
Cullman	36	32.0	8	7	38	-	2	34	10	1	100
De Kalb	31	36.5	58	*	14	-	-	6	19	3	100
Etowah	12	9.3	49	11	6	-	-	12	14	8	100
Franklin	10	12.2	24	40	3	-	-	6	24	3	100
Jackson	17	20.3	6	10	76	-	-	5	3	-	100
Lauderdale	24	21.9	2	55	40	1	-	-	1	1	100
Lawrence	23	33.6	-	42	23	15	-	7	10	3	100
Limestone	25	40.8	2	20	67	1	-	2	-	8	100
Madison	30	51.0	7	31	60	1	-	-	-	1	100
Marion	9	8.5	18	57	18	2	-	1	4	-	100
Marshall	27	28.7	35	3	11	-	-	35	13	3	100
Morgan	25	28.3	4	61	28	-	-	2	4	1	100
Winston	8	5.9	7	13	29	-	8	38	5	-	100
Total	323	376.5	16	27	35	2	*	10	7	3	100
District 2											
Autauga	7	5.2	4	52	12	-	4	5	6	17	100
Calhoun	6	5.2	-	65	3	-	12	14	4	2	100
Chambers	6	7.3	-	75	16	-	6	2	1	-	100
Chilton	6	7.5	-	83	5	-	5	6	-	1	100
Choctaw	5	4.8	-	70	19	-	6	5	-	-	100
Clay	5	2.5	-	50	9	-	25	10	4	2	100
Dallas	12	21.4	-	62	7	-	24	7	-	-	100
Elmore	10	11.6	-	79	19	-	-	2	-	-	100
Fayette	7	6.7	-	33	15	1	8	37	-	6	100
Greene	5	6.1	-	51	43	1	4	*	-	1	100
Hale	9	11.1	-	21	50	2	4	21	-	2	100
Lamar	7	8.5	-	8	80	-	3	7	1	1	100
Lowndes	9	6.9	8	62	3	*	-	26	*	1	100
Macon	8	11.9	3	92	-	-	-	5	-	-	100
Marengo	9	14.3	-	40	56	1	*	2	-	1	100
Montgomery	9	8.0	5	84	-	-	-	6	5	-	100
Perry	5	7.7	-	14	84	-	1	1	-	*	100
Pickens	10	11.1	-	15	66	4	4	11	-	-	100
Randolph	7	7.1	-	63	1	-	25	5	2	4	100
Russell	7	7.6	-	92	1	-	4	2	-	1	100
St. Clair	4	3.1	-	19	54	10	-	-	17	-	100
Shelby	3	5.2	-	6	17	-	43	34	-	-	100
Sumter	13	8.3	-	42	56	-	-	*	-	2	100
Talladega	11	7.1	-	72	13	-	14	1	-	-	100
Tallapoosa	5	5.2	-	76	10	-	-	14	-	-	100
Tuscaloosa	10	12.7	-	14	70	6	5	5	*	*	100
Walker	6	5.1	-	13	37	-	11	34	-	5	100
All Other	9	10.8	-	78	2	-	8	11	1	*	100
Total	210	230.0	1	52	28	1	7	9	1	1	100
District 3											
Barbour	7	8.8	-	63	1	12	22	-	-	2	100
Bullock	5	5.3	-	96	-	-	-	-	4	-	100
Butler	6	6.2	2	56	14	10	9	-	9	-	100
Coffee	6	8.2	-	46	13	9	16	-	6	10	100
Conecuh	5	6.2	-	29	18	10	27	-	16	*	100
Covington	7	8.8	-	39	5	4	48	2	1	1	100
Crenshaw	7	5.7	-	65	9	10	10	-	5	1	100
Dale	4	4.0	-	82	-	6	10	-	-	2	100
Escambia	4	12.5	-	69	6	2	21	-	2	-	100
Geneva	10	16.6	-	33	1	3	27	-	35	1	100
Henry	6	10.4	-	33	-	4	58	-	4	1	100
Houston	10	14.5	-	44	*	21	26	-	9	*	100
Monroe	9	11.4	-	43	19	-	38	-	-	*	100
Pike	9	9.6	17	45	-	27	9	-	-	2	100
Wilcox	8	6.5	-	65	16	1	14	-	-	4	100
All Other	3	5.3	-	83	13	4	*	-	-	-	100
Total	106	140.0	1	51	6	8	24	*	8	2	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 10. Mississippi: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1953-57

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Coker Staple	Coker Wilds	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1953	1,197	7	1	-	3	86	-	-	1	2	100
1954	842	6	2	-	4	80	1	4	*	3	100
1955	1,144	4	1	*	6	81	*	5	1	2	100
1956	894	2	1	-	6	81	*	5	1	4	100
1957	-	4	1	-	7	75	-	7	1	5	100
District 2											
1953	435	2	-	*	-	74	4	5	12	3	100
1954	374	2	-	*	-	78	3	2	12	3	100
1955	438	2	-	-	1	79	2	1	10	5	100
1956	370	4	-	*	1	76	3	1	9	6	100
1957	-	4	-	-	2	75	5	1	10	3	100
District 3											
1953	283	12	-	*	*	79	4	-	3	2	100
1954	196	12	-	-	*	75	5	4	3	1	100
1955	262	10	-	*	1	78	6	2	2	1	100
1956	196	10	-	*	*	80	6	1	2	1	100
1957	-	9	-	*	*	80	7	1	2	1	100
District 4											
1953	184	7	-	-	*	78	7	-	6	2	100
1954	152	5	-	-	*	81	5	2	6	1	100
1955	152	8	-	-	*	76	6	2	7	1	100
1956	117	12	-	-	*	74	7	2	4	1	100
1957	-	14	-	-	*	72	8	2	4	*	100
State											
1953	2,099	7	*	*	2	82	2	1	4	2	100
1954	1,564	6	1	*	2	79	2	4	4	2	100
1955	1,996	4	1	*	3	80	2	3	4	3	100
1956	1,577	4	*	*	4	80	2	3	3	4	100
1957	-	5	*	*	4	76	3	4	5	3	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 11. Mississippi: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1957

District and County	Gins	1956 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Coker Staple	Delfos	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Fox	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
Bolivar	58	126.0	-	-	1	86	1	10	1	1	100
Coahoma	46	109.7	-	-	-	91	2	3	1	3	100
Holmes	24	46.0	10	-	2	78	-	2	6	2	100
Humphreys	16	49.6	4	7	36	52	-	-	-	1	100
Issaquena	3	9.6	-	-	-	27	-	68	5	-	100
Leflore	37	90.3	9	-	4	86	-	-	-	1	100
Quitman	25	53.2	2	-	-	84	-	11	3	-	100
Sharkey	15	33.0	-	11	25	15	-	45	-	4	100
Sunflower	42	123.0	6	-	2	78	11	1	1	1	100
Tallahatchie	26	52.6	4	-	-	94	-	1	-	1	100
Tunica	21	45.6	1	-	-	94	-	3	2	-	100
Warren	3	6.1	-	-	-	82	13	5	-	-	100
Washington	46	103.4	1	-	6	61	-	14	15	3	100
Yazoo	17	46.6	11	-	51	36	-	-	-	2	100
Total	379	894.7	4	1	7	75	2	7	1	3	100
District 2											
Alcorn	9	11.7	16	-	54	9	-	1	15	5	100
Benton	6	9.2	1	2	48	5	-	-	41	3	100
Calhoun	10	19.0	3	2	48	4	-	-	41	2	100
Chickasaw	9	19.7	2	2	80	2	-	-	11	3	100
De Soto	21	36.2	7	1	60	17	9	-	5	1	100
Grenada	7	11.9	-	3	88	3	-	-	5	1	100
Itawamba	8	8.4	2	1	85	-	-	-	7	5	100
Lafayette	7	11.6	-	-	94	-	-	-	1	5	100
Lee	19	27.6	-	-	91	-	2	-	4	3	100
Marshall	17	31.7	-	2	67	5	-	-	21	4	100
Monroe	14	21.0	2	1	93	-	2	-	-	2	100
Panola	23	42.4	2	1	88	1	-	-	5	3	100
Pontotoc	11	18.3	5	1	77	5	-	-	9	3	100
Prentiss	12	18.6	17	1	67	6	-	1	6	2	100
Tate	12	28.2	1	-	91	2	1	-	2	3	100
Tippah	13	20.9	18	1	51	11	-	-	17	2	100
Tishomingo	6	6.5	6	-	79	1	-	4	6	4	100
Union	9	14.5	4	-	74	3	-	-	14	5	100
Yalobusha	5	12.1	-	22	72	1	1	-	4	-	100
Total	218	369.5	4	2	75	5	1	*	10	3	100
District 3											
Attala	9	10.5	9	-	-	83	6	-	2	-	100
Carroll	6	9.7	11	-	-	80	2	-	4	3	100
Clay	7	7.5	2	-	-	94	2	-	2	-	100
Hinds	14	20.9	4	-	-	83	2	5	6	-	100
Kemper	7	3.6	12	-	-	81	6	-	1	-	100
Lauderdale	8	5.0	35	-	-	54	7	-	4	-	100
Leake	13	14.6	18	*	-	69	11	-	2	-	100
Lowndes	9	12.9	2	-	-	90	5	2	1	-	100
Madison	16	27.8	4	-	-	92	3	1	-	-	100
Montgomery	10	10.7	6	-	1	87	3	-	3	-	100
Neshoba	13	11.0	29	*	-	53	17	-	1	-	100
Newton	10	7.0	11	-	-	55	32	-	2	-	100
Noxubee	8	13.6	3	-	-	91	5	1	-	-	100
Rankin	7	7.6	3	-	-	87	8	2	-	-	100
Scott	9	9.2	14	-	-	63	20	-	3	-	100
Webster	6	7.0	3	-	-	91	1	-	5	-	100
Winston	10	12.3	14	-	-	74	6	1	5	-	100
All Other	3	4.9	4	-	-	92	2	-	2	-	100
Total	165	195.8	9	*	*	80	7	1	2	1	100
District 4											
Adams	4	1.0	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Amite	8	7.4	1	-	97	1	-	-	1	-	100
Claiborne	3	3.1	2	2	95	1	-	-	-	-	100
Clarke	4	3.5	31	-	54	13	-	-	2	-	100
Copiah	10	7.9	7	-	76	5	-	-	12	-	100
Covington	7	8.2	10	-	60	24	3	-	3	-	100
Jasper	10	6.4	23	-	67	7	-	-	3	-	100
Jefferson Davis	11	13.4	28	-	64	7	-	-	1	-	100
Jefferson	4	5.3	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Jones	5	3.1	22	-	62	9	-	2	5	-	100
Lamar	3	1.3	27	-	53	15	5	-	-	-	100
Lawrence	7	6.3	2	-	91	4	-	-	3	-	100
Lincoln	4	5.1	7	-	76	5	-	-	12	-	100
Marion	9	7.1	15	-	66	12	-	2	5	-	100
Pike	8	4.4	2	2	75	4	-	1	16	-	100
Simpson	6	8.0	22	-	63	10	-	-	5	-	100
Smith	11	5.2	6	-	85	4	-	2	2	1	100
Walthall	9	7.3	5	-	75	15	4	-	1	-	100
Wayne	4	4.5	52	-	36	8	-	-	4	-	100
All Other	6	8.8	11	-	63	5	17	-	3	1	100
Total	133	117.3	14	*	72	8	2	*	4	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 12. Tennessee: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1953-57

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Stone-ville	Other ^{1/}	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1									
1953	613	1	61	18	11	1	6	2	100
1954	491	*	61	19	10	2	6	2	100
1955	558	*	68	17	6	2	5	2	100
1956	479	1	66	17	5	1	6	4	100
1957	-	1	66	16	6	1	5	5	100
District 2									
1953	73	8	26	36	5	12	7	6	100
1954	43	7	24	42	4	9	9	5	100
1955	55	6	28	40	2	11	6	7	100
1956	48	6	26	45	3	3	4	13	100
1957	-	8	25	52	2	5	2	6	100
State									
1953	686	1	58	19	10	2	6	4	100
1954	534	1	57	21	10	2	6	3	100
1955	613	1	65	19	6	3	5	1	100
1956	527	1	63	20	5	1	6	4	100
1957	-	1	63	19	6	2	4	5	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

^{1/} Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 13. Tennessee: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1957

District and County	Gins	1956 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
Carroll	15	18.4	-	-	61	35	1	-	-	3	100
Chester	9	10.3	-	-	84	6	2	4	-	4	100
Crockett	12	30.5	-	6	64	18	5	-	3	4	100
Dyer	17	34.5	1	19	58	4	5	-	12	1	100
Fayette	17	29.6	1	-	55	16	8	-	19	1	100
Gibson	22	43.6	-	5	59	32	1	-	-	3	100
Hardeman	14	20.3	2	-	64	20	-	*	12	2	100
Hardin	5	5.5	-	-	82	6	6	3	-	3	100
Haywood	20	36.9	-	2	83	9	2	-	2	2	100
Henderson	21	18.2	-	-	54	22	2	16	-	6	100
Henry	3	3.8	-	-	58	28	-	-	8	6	100
Lake	10	31.5	-	-	71	-	28	-	-	1	100
Lauderdale	21	41.7	1	4	69	12	5	-	8	1	100
Mc Nairy	18	20.5	-	-	78	3	8	4	2	5	100
Madison	21	27.7	-	-	67	19	8	2	-	4	100
Obion	8	12.1	1	3	71	10	4	-	10	1	100
Shelby	24	37.9	-	-	71	18	9	-	1	1	100
Tipton	20	45.5	3	-	69	18	5	-	2	3	100
Weakley	4	7.5	-	-	36	40	6	-	16	2	100
All Other	3	3.0	-	-	40	45	-	10	-	5	100
Total	284	479.0	1	3	66	16	6	1	5	2	100


Cobalt	Coker 100-W	Delta- pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Stone- ville	Other 1/	Total
-	-	27	70	-	1	-	2	100
-	9	25	53	4	5	1	3	100
7	4	2	73	-	11	-	3	100
-	20	32	37	-	2	9	-	100
-	-	89	-	7	-	-	4	100
-	2	41	35	15	1	-	6	100
3	8	25	52	2	5	2	3	100

Table 14. Missouri: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1957

County	Gins	1956 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	DPL Staple	Fox	Paula	Rowden	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
County												
Butler	10	20.8	-	38	38	-	10	-	-	13	1	100
Dunklin	37	87.1	3	51	22	*	8	2	-	11	3	100
Mississippi	15	43.3	-	17	40	-	35	-	-	7	1	100
New Madrid	41	124.0	-	35	29	*	25	-	-	9	2	100
Pemiscot	35	111.5	-	28	37	*	20	1	-	13	1	100
Scott	10	23.5	-	21	25	1	34	2	-	16	1	100
Stoddard	12	35.3	-	18	11	-	44	1	-	25	1	100
All Other	1	1.1	-	5	40	-	40	5	-	5	5	100
Total	161	446.6	1	32	29	*	22	1	-	12	3	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 15. Arkansas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1953-57

District and State	Ginnings	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Paula	Rowden	Stone-ville	Other ^{1/}	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1953	44	-	53	5	1	32	*	3	2	4	100
1954	43	-	58	3	3	27	-	3	1	5	100
1955	68	-	55	3	*	35	-	1	1	5	100
1956	48	2	59	7	*	27	-	1	1	3	100
1957	-	*	56	6	*	30	-	1	2	5	100
District 2											
1953	877	*	67	8	11	*	2	1	8	3	100
1954	793	1	68	8	10	*	2	1	8	2	100
1955	901	7	73	7	4	-	2	1	4	2	100
1956	802	13	73	5	4	-	*	*	3	2	100
1957	-	20	67	5	5	-	*	-	1	2	100
District 3											
1953	116	-	75	3	1	1	-	5	12	3	100
1954	84	-	79	2	*	1	-	6	9	3	100
1955	136	2	75	2	*	2	-	6	9	4	100
1956	92	2	70	2	1	2	-	8	10	5	100
1957	-	2	79	2	-	1	-	6	6	4	100
District 4											
1953	490	*	65	1	3	*	-	1	27	3	100
1954	427	3	65	1	2	*	1	1	24	3	100
1955	546	7	71	2	2	*	-	1	14	3	100
1956	457	18	66	2	2	*	-	*	8	4	100
1957	-	26	62	1	1	-	-	*	7	3	100
State											
1953	1,527	*	67	5	7	1	1	1	14	4	100
1954	1,347	2	68	5	7	1	1	1	13	2	100
1955	1,651	7	72	5	3	1	1	1	7	3	100
1956	1,399	13	69	4	3	1	*	1	5	4	100
1957	-	20	66	3	3	1	*	*	3	4	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

^{1/} Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 16. Arkansas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1957

District and County	Gins	1956 Ginnings	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Rowden	Stone-ville	Other ^{1/}	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
Conway	3	4.0	-	83	-	-	11	1	-	5	100
Faulkner	6	9.5	1	56	3	-	33	2	-	5	100
Independence	4	3.3	-	65	8	-	9	-	13	5	100
White	12	15.3	-	25	11	-	57	-	2	5	100
Yell	3	8.9	1	87	5	-	-	-	2	5	100
All Other	8	7.0	-	62	2	1	28	1	1	5	100
Total	36	48.0	*	56	6	*	30	1	2	5	100
District 2											
Clay	22	40.5	-	54	9	22	11	2	-	2	100
Craighead	35	78.8	-	68	21	-	8	-	2	1	100
Crittenden	56	110.3	1	-	82	2	10	-	3	2	100
Cross	20	42.7	-	5	83	4	3	-	1	4	100
Greene	17	35.7	-	42	37	3	10	-	8	-	100
Jackson	21	43.1	1	10	59	17	1	-	-	12	100
Lawrence	13	18.6	-	12	28	53	-	-	2	5	100
Mississippi	73	210.0	-	13	82	-	5	-	-	-	100
Poinsett	28	98.1	-	29	70	-	1	-	-	-	100
Randolph	8	11.7	-	2	55	26	5	-	7	5	100
St. Francis	30	80.2	-	9	82	3	-	-	2	4	100
Woodruff	14	32.4	-	1	94	1	-	-	-	4	100
Total	337	802.1	*	20	67	5	5	*	1	2	100
District 3											
Ashley	12	29.1	-	3	91	-	-	-	2	4	100
Bradley	5	2.7	-	-	65	1	4	6	19	5	100
Calhoun	3	2.6	-	-	55	4	4	30	4	3	100
Clark	3	2.8	-	-	53	10	9	22	3	3	100
Cleveland	3	3.0	-	-	36	3	2	10	48	1	100
Columbia	7	5.0	1	-	70	12	2	2	13	-	100
Drew	5	12.0	-	10	85	-	-	-	4	1	100
Hempstead	11	6.8	-	-	67	4	-	22	3	4	100
Lafayette	8	9.5	-	-	89	1	-	2	3	5	100
Little River	4	3.5	3	-	86	-	-	-	6	5	100
Miller	5	5.4	-	-	83	-	-	7	8	2	100
Nevada	3	2.4	-	-	53	8	4	34	-	1	100
All Other	8	6.7	-	-	63	2	2	8	4	21	100
Total	77	91.5	*	2	79	2	1	6	6	4	100
District 4											
Arkansas	6	7.4	-	2	67	10	-	-	17	4	100
Chicot	12	35.0	-	1	86	-	1	-	8	4	100
Desha	15	48.7	-	35	54	-	-	-	10	1	100
Jefferson	36	69.8	5	22	65	-	3	-	2	3	100
Lee	23	59.8	-	5	73	6	-	-	13	3	100
Lincoln	11	30.0	1	38	56	-	-	-	2	3	100
Lonoke	23	69.2	-	93	5	-	-	-	-	2	100
Monroe	13	35.0	-	3	89	3	-	-	1	4	100
Phillips	42	86.0	3	4	79	-	-	-	12	2	100
Prairie	4	6.5	-	-	96	3	-	-	-	1	100
Pulaski	14	10.2	-	6	83	-	-	3	6	2	100
Total	199	457.6	1	26	62	1	1	*	7	2	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

^{1/} Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 17. Louisiana: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1953-57

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Fox	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1								
1953	210	1	-	99	-	*	*	100
1954	119	*	-	98	1	*	1	100
1955	128	1	2	96	*	*	1	100
1956	103	1	*	96	*	*	3	100
1957	-	1	-	93	-	*	6	100
District 2								
1953	22	6	-	91	-	1	2	100
1954	15	4	-	91	-	*	5	100
1955	22	6	-	88	-	1	5	100
1956	15	6	-	86	-	*	8	100
1957	-	5	-	92	-	*	3	100
District 3								
1953	414	1	1	93	3	1	4	100
1954	248	*	3	91	4	1	1	100
1955	278	*	4	92	3	*	1	100
1956	286	*	2	95	2	*	1	100
1957	-	1	3	91	2	2	1	100
District 4								
1953	149	*	-	96	-	3	1	100
1954	180	*	-	97	*	2	1	100
1955	144	-	-	96	-	4	*	100
1956	166	*	-	90	2	7	1	100
1957	-	*	-	85	*	13	2	100
State								
1953	795	1	*	96	*	1	2	100
1954	562	*	2	94	2	1	1	100
1955	572	1	2	94	1	1	1	100
1956	570	1	1	94	1	2	1	100
1957	-	1	2	90	1	5	1	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 18. Louisiana: Estimated percentages of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by parishes and districts, crop of 1957

District and Parish	Gins	1956 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Stardel	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1									
Bossier	8	16.2	.	83	*	16	-	1	100
Caddo	17	27.8	-	94	-	1	-	5	100
De Soto	6	3.9	-	95	2	-	-	3	100
Natchitoches	16	16.3	3	92	*	-	-	5	100
Rapides	14	25.4	-	98	-	1	-	1	100
Red River	6	9.0	3	93	-	-	2	2	100
All Other	5	4.2	-	89	3	-	6	2	100
Total	72	102.8	1	93	*	3	*	3	100
District 2									
Bienville	4	2.5	4	95	-	-	-	1	100
Claiborne	5	3.5	2	94	3	-	1	-	100
Lincoln	4	2.5	8	89	-	-	1	2	100
Union	3	2.9	12	84	2	2	-	-	100
Webster	5	3.2	-	97	1	-	-	2	100
All Other	1	.2	25	75	-	-	-	-	100
Total	22	14.8	5	92	1	*	*	2	100
District 3									
Caldwell	2	6.4	2	-	91	5	2	-	100
Catahoula	5	11.6	1	-	96	-	1	2	100
Concordia	7	12.6	-	3	96	-	1	-	100
East Carroll	13	32.5	-	25	61	4	2	8	100
Franklin	22	55.0	-	1	97	1	1	-	100
Madison	8	20.9	-	2	96	-	1	1	100
Morehouse	11	32.7	-	-	94	4	1	1	100
Ouachita	5	18.5	4	-	90	5	1	-	100
Richland	20	44.5	1	-	96	2	1	-	100
Tensas	6	25.7	1	-	96	-	1	2	100
West Carroll	13	25.6	-	-	88	-	11	1	100
Total	112	286.0	1	3	91	2	2	1	100
District 4									
Acadia	7	10.2	-	99	-	-	1	-	100
Avoyelles	20	30.0	-	96	-	2	1	1	100
East Feliciana	3	3.2	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Evangeline	14	18.3	-	99	-	-	-	1	100
Lafayette	13	18.4	-	88	-	-	12	-	100
Pointe Coupee	10	14.2	-	73	-	-	27	-	100
St. Landry	32	48.8	-	83	-	-	16	1	100
St. Martin	6	9.0	-	33	-	-	67	-	100
Vermilion	4	5.8	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Washington	4	4.7	1	87	3	-	8	1	100
All Other	7	3.6	1	90	1	-	8	-	100
Total	120	166.2	*	85	*	*	13	2	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 19. Oklahoma: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1953-57

District and State	Ginnings	Cluster	Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Parrot	Rowden	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1												
1953	293	8	*	13	42	16	14	*	-	1	6	100
1954	217	7	*	15	43	13	13	*	-	1	8	100
1955	311	5	*	16	45	11	14	-	-	1	8	100
1956	203	3	*	3	49	7	24	3	-	1	10	100
1957	-	3	*	3	49	6	17	7	-	*	15	100
District 2												
1953	66	-	21	*	6	2	*	1	2	46	22	100
1954	34	-	23	1	6	1	1	*	4	51	13	100
1955	71	-	28	*	7	*	1	-	*	52	12	100
1956	31	-	23	1	6	-	7	3	1	50	9	100
1957	-	-	27	2	6	*	4	2	*	49	10	100
District 3												
1953	68	-	31	9	17	3	2	7	5	21	5	100
1954	38	-	23	11	17	2	5	7	5	24	6	100
1955	66	-	31	11	16	2	5	6	2	19	8	100
1956	26	-	21	6	32	1	9	2	1	23	5	100
1957	-	*	35	8	25	1	3	5	*	19	4	100
State												
1953	427	5	9	10	33	12	10	*	1	12	8	100
1954	289	5	8	12	33	10	10	1	1	13	7	100
1955	448	4	8	13	36	8	11	1	*	10	9	100
1956	260	2	7	3	40	5	19	3	*	12	9	100
1957	-	2	7	3	41	5	14	6	*	8	14	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 20. Oklahoma: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1957

District and County	Gins	1956 Ginnings	Cluster	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Parrot	Stoneville	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1												
Beckham	14	21.2	-	-	26	9	8	9	-	41	7	100
Blaine	7	4.4	-	-	28	-	51	3	3	6	9	100
Caddo	26	21.5	-	4	74	3	6	5	2	-	6	100
Comanche	5	1.7	-	6	24	7	56	2	2	-	3	100
Cotton	4	2.4	-	5	38	-	42	12	-	-	3	100
Custer	9	6.3	-	5	73	-	16	-	2	-	4	100
Dewey	3	1.6	-	-	44	8	-	-	-	22	26	100
Greer	11	14.4	-	1	37	8	15	20	-	15	4	100
Harmon	8	21.6	16	-	24	1	35	4	-	9	11	100
Jackson	19	41.5	-	1	38	16	26	5	-	8	6	100
Kiowa	14	16.2	3	7	63	-	13	4	-	1	9	100
Roger Mills	4	3.4	-	8	34	-	10	-	-	22	26	100
Tillman	15	25.7	6	7	61	3	2	13	-	-	8	100
Washita	18	20.7	-	1	79	-	9	2	-	3	6	100
Total	157	202.6	3	3	49	6	17	7	*	9	6	100
District 2												
Canadian	5	2.9	10	-	-	64	7	3	-	9	7	100
Cleveland	2	.7	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	84	14	100
Hughes	5	1.2	-	-	5	-	12	5	-	71	7	100
Logan	4	.7	40	-	-	3	3	-	-	49	5	100
Mc Intosh	7	4.0	7	2	5	-	4	3	-	73	6	100
Muskogee	14	9.1	40	6	-	-	3	-	-	48	3	100
Okmulgee	3	1.9	15	3	7	-	7	-	-	66	2	100
Payne	3	.5	16	-	-	3	13	-	-	58	10	100
Pittsburg	4	1.8	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	51	8	100
Wagoner	4	1.4	38	-	-	-	3	12	-	36	11	100
All Other	16	6.5	32	2	3	1	4	2	1	43	12	100
Total	67	30.7	27	3	2	6	4	2	*	49	7	100
District 3												
Bryan	5	3.7	52	-	10	-	4	10	-	24	-	100
Garvin	6	1.8	4	-	5	-	-	-	-	86	5	100
Grady	11	5.6	-	3	85	-	1	-	-	4	7	100
Jefferson	7	2.8	-	28	1	13	-	3	-	45	10	100
Mc Clain	5	1.5	1	10	69	-	13	-	-	3	4	100
Stephens	3	1.2	-	20	10	-	33	37	-	-	-	100
All Other	13	9.6	74	7	-	-	-	-	1	10	8	100
Total	50	26.2	35	8	25	1	3	5	*	19	4	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 21. Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1953-57

District and State	Ginnings	Acala	American Egyptian	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Hibred Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett	Macha	Mebane	Northern Star	Paymaster	Qualla	Rowden	Stone-ville	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1																			
1953	1,370	•	-	-	2	1	4	8	29	26	•	4	19	•	-	-	-	7	100
1954	1,581	1	-	-	1	•	2	17	20	15	•	18	17	-	-	-	-	9	100
1955	1,392	1	-	-	2	3	8	32	7	4	1	11	23	•	-	•	-	8	100
1956	1,655	3	-	-	2	3	1	53	5	1	1	11	11	-	-	1	3	5	100
1957	-	4	-	-	1	3	•	47	4	7	•	6	17	-	-	-	2	9	100
District 2																			
1953	444	•	-	-	8	-	24	10	18	4	3	23	1	1	•	•	-	8	100
1954	391	-	-	-	10	-	11	25	10	3	4	18	2	3	-	-	-	14	100
1955	522	-	-	-	9	•	9	20	15	3	4	12	4	3	1	-	-	20	100
1956	273	2	-	•	7	1	7	28	9	•	1	17	2	1	1	-	20	4	100
1957	-	2	-	-	4	•	4	25	6	1	•	21	5	•	-	-	23	9	100
District 3																			
1953	30	-	-	-	•	•	12	4	8	•	29	14	2	12	2	-	-	17	100
1954	16	-	-	-	1	1	11	13	6	-	13	21	4	11	3	9	-	2	100
1955	17	-	-	-	-	1	5	22	5	-	13	12	-	17	1	-	-	23	100
1956	9	-	-	-	1	-	4	33	8	-	9	17	-	11	-	-	2	15	100
1957	-	1	-	-	6	-	8	26	11	-	6	13	1	6	-	-	1	21	100
District 4																			
1953	1,074	•	-	•	3	•	•	16	-	•	17	4	•	13	42	1	-	4	100
1954	440	•	-	-	4	•	•	33	•	-	11	10	•	11	28	•	-	3	100
1955	628	•	-	-	4	•	•	32	1	-	12	11	•	17	100	•	-	3	100
1956	350	•	-	-	8	•	•	49	•	-	6	11	-	9	14	•	-	2	100
1957	-	1	-	-	5	•	•	54	•	-	5	12	-	11	6	•	-	6	100
District 5																			
1953	246	2	-	-	51	•	8	•	-	-	11	1	-	8	13	2	-	4	100
1954	145	1	-	-	47	•	11	1	-	-	13	7	-	7	11	1	-	6	100
1955	229	2	-	-	57	1	8	1	-	-	8	3	-	5	100	3	-	3	100
1956	155	3	-	-	61	2	7	3	-	-	9	2	-	4	4	1	-	4	100
1957	-	-	-	-	59	1	5	6	-	-	8	2	-	7	4	•	-	8	100
District 6																			
1953	266	67	13	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	100
1954	270	79	7	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	100
1955	278	80	13	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	100
1956	299	93	4	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	1	-	2	100
1957	-	85	12	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	1	-	2	100
District 7																			
1953	33	5	-	-	-	-	8	27	•	•	11	1	-	18	19	-	-	11	100
1954	20	4	-	-	-	-	7	37	-	1	6	1	•	13	6	1	-	24	100
1955	26	2	-	-	-	-	6	43	-	-	5	•	-	6	5	-	-	33	100
1956	7	3	-	-	•	-	3	26	-	-	4	4	-	7	5	-	40	8	100
1957	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	56	-	-	•	5	-	10	1	-	16	9	100
District 8																			
1953	279	•	-	12	13	3	-	23	-	-	3	7	•	6	23	3	-	7	100
1954	350	•	-	7	19	4	-	17	•	-	5	8	•	8	22	1	-	9	100
1955	222	•	-	15	13	5	-	25	-	-	3	9	•	7	14	4	-	5	100
1956	212	•	-	23	17	3	-	15	•	-	2	7	-	9	10	5	-	9	100
1957	-	2	-	15	22	2	-	24	-	-	2	11	-	5	7	3	-	7	100
District 9																			
1953	223	•	-	1	77	2	•	9	-	-	•	2	-	•	1	3	-	5	100
1954	182	•	-	1	80	•	-	7	-	-	•	2	-	•	2	•	-	6	100
1955	220	•	-	•	80	3	-	5	-	-	•	•	-	1	4	-	-	7	100
1956	153	•	-	1	81	3	-	6	-	-	•	1	-	•	1	2	-	5	100
1957	-	1	-	1	81	4	-	4	-	-	-	1	-	•	1	1	-	6	100
District 10																			
1953	290	2	-	-	66	11	-	2	-	-	1	1	•	1	3	8	-	5	100
1954	467	1	-	-	62	12	-	•	-	-	•	3	•	3	3	4	-	12	100
1955	423	1	-	1	66	8	-	1	-	-	•	3	•	2	3	7	-	6	100
1956	442	2	-	3	61	9	-	2	-	-	•	2	•	2	1	13	-	5	100
1957	-	1	-	6	56	6	-	1	-	-	-	5	•	1	1	16	-	7	100
State																			
1953	4,255	6	1	1	18	2	4	9	12	10	4	5	7	4	11	2	-	4	100
1954	3,862	5	•	1	15	1	4	18	8	5	5	11	6	4	10	1	-	6	100
1955	3,957	6	1	2	17	3	5	22	5	2	3	5	10	4	5	1	-	6	100
1956	3,555	8	•	2	19	3	2	31	2	•	2	9	4	3	4	2	4	5	100
1957	-	10	1	2	16	3	1	31	2	3	1	7	8	2	1	2	3	7	100

• Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 22. Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1957

District and County	Gins	1956 Ginnings	Acala	Blight Master	Delta-pine	Empire	Lankart	Lockett	Macha	Northern Star	Pay-master	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1														
Bailey	19	73.1	2	4	-	3	45	7	1	23	3	-	12	100
Briscoe	4	11.8	-	6	-	-	11	-	17	17	39	-	10	100
Castro	13	54.5	-	-	-	2	21	1	23	5	40	-	8	100
Cochran	13	55.9	7	1	-	25	56	5	1	1	-	-	4	100
Crosby	19	105.0	-	7	-	-	61	2	-	13	9	-	8	100
Dawson	26	82.1	9	8	13	-	50	1	-	1	-	11	7	100
Floyd	19	92.5	-	-	-	-	15	1	7	8	62	-	7	100
Gaines	8	32.0	47	5	-	6	12	-	-	11	7	5	7	100
Hale	32	199.9	-	-	-	4	54	2	6	3	23	-	8	100
Hockley	33	174.4	1	5	-	-	66	8	2	3	12	-	3	100
Howard	12	6.4	8	-	-	-	11	-	-	-	-	53	28	100
Lamb	35	172.3	-	2	-	-	42	8	22	9	12	-	5	100
Lubbock	40	225.8	-	-	-	-	71	1	3	6	8	-	11	100
Lynn	26	90.4	-	2	-	-	71	5	-	4	3	14	1	100
Martin	13	28.7	39	-	4	-	15	-	-	-	1	19	22	100
Midland	3	12.0	57	-	-	-	16	-	-	-	-	12	15	100
Parmer	13	58.8	6	-	-	25	16	3	3	17	24	-	6	100
Swisher	11	44.2	-	-	-	2	9	9	10	1	61	-	8	100
Terry	17	93.8	-	1	-	-	30	6	25	-	29	1	8	100
Yoakum	6	26.8	20	-	-	15	34	-	-	4	26	-	1	100
All Other	7	14.5	7	-	-	-	13	19	6	5	28	10	12	100
Total	369	1,654.9	4	2	1	3	47	4	7	6	17	2	7	100
District 2														
Baylor	3	3.7	-	-	-	-	53	7	-	17	-	13	10	100
Childress	6	8.8	-	-	-	-	12	6	-	13	-	58	11	100
Coleman	3	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	-	30	50	100
Collingsworth	13	21.9	-	-	-	-	4	3	-	24	-	52	17	100
Cottle	7	11.2	-	-	-	46	1	1	-	3	47	1	1	100
Dickens	7	17.5	-	-	-	2	35	7	-	13	-	35	8	100
Donley	5	9.1	-	-	-	-	5	10	-	39	8	15	23	100
Fisher	10	7.9	-	-	-	-	20	-	-	7	-	63	10	100
Foard	4	4.1	-	-	-	2	22	69	-	7	-	-	-	100
Garza	6	10.4	2	-	-	-	31	-	-	5	1	55	6	100
Hall	15	25.9	3	8	-	8	6	9	-	34	5	20	7	100
Hardeman	7	12.1	9	3	-	2	38	11	-	17	-	15	5	100
Haskell	11	31.9	-	-	-	-	20	1	-	67	1	2	9	100
Jones	14	8.8	-	1	-	-	65	-	-	7	-	19	8	100
Knox	8	15.6	18	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	-	12	100
Mitchell	11	11.7	10	-	-	-	28	-	-	8	-	43	11	100
Motley	6	6.1	-	-	17	16	44	4	-	6	4	-	9	100
Nolan	6	4.1	-	-	-	-	41	-	-	19	-	31	9	100
Runnels	13	9.1	-	-	-	-	55	-	-	3	-	32	10	100
Scurry	9	9.2	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	83	7	100
Stonewall	3	2.4	-	-	-	-	79	-	-	-	13	-	8	100
Taylor	6	3.2	-	-	-	-	57	-	-	1	26	-	16	100
Wheeler	10	9.4	-	-	-	-	40	20	-	24	9	2	5	100
Wichita	4	2.8	-	-	-	18	33	35	-	14	-	-	-	100
Wilbarger	11	17.2	-	4	-	4	50	12	1	15	-	-	14	100
All Other	5	6.6	-	-	-	5	27	-	-	31	7	19	11	100
Total	203	272.5	2	4	*	4	25	6	*	21	5	23	10	100
District 3														
Clay	3	1.2	-	-	18	48	30	4	-	-	-	-	-	100
All Other	19	7.8	1	8	4	21	7	6	16	1	7	1	28	100
Total	22	9.0	1	6	8	26	11	6	13	1	6	1	21	100
District 4														
Bell	23	13.6	-	-	-	72	1	2	14	2	-	-	9	100
Bosque	9	1.5	-	-	-	76	3	5	1	-	-	-	15	100
Collin	26	26.4	-	-	-	38	8	36	8	9	-	-	1	100
Cooke	4	1.5	-	-	10	1	64	10	10	5	-	-	-	100
Coryell	6	3.0	-	-	-	55	2	27	-	-	-	-	16	100
Dallas	8	6.0	25	14	-	25	3	7	5	15	-	6	-	100
Delta	10	13.5	-	8	-	71	1	14	6	-	-	-	-	100
Denton	7	1.8	-	-	-	53	20	23	4	-	-	-	-	100
Ellis	37	39.5	-	2	-	63	2	4	9	9	1	10	-	100
Falls	19	14.6	-	18	-	53	6	6	7	-	10	-	-	100
Fannin	16	20.0	-	-	-	64	4	22	10	-	-	-	-	100
Grayson	9	8.9	-	-	-	36	6	41	3	-	-	14	-	100
Hill	30	29.4	-	-	-	93	1	3	3	-	-	-	-	100
Hunt	21	21.9	-	-	-	47	14	3	22	12	2	-	-	100
Johnson	10	7.9	-	-	-	89	-	8	1	2	-	-	-	100
Kaufman	12	14.0	-	3	-	41	3	2	37	14	-	-	-	100
Lamar	16	20.5	-	51	-	14	-	23	4	2	-	-	6	100
Limestone	12	12.2	4	-	5	35	13	8	21	14	-	-	-	100
Mc Lennan	32	28.1	-	-	-	58	11	13	7	1	10	-	-	100
Milam	16	10.6	-	20	-	35	-	9	14	8	-	-	14	100
Navarro	21	28.1	-	-	-	52	6	14	12	15	1	-	-	100
Rockwall	5	7.2	-	-	-	43	1	3	10	-	7	36	-	100
Tarrant	5	3.0	-	1	-	71	8	15	-	5	-	-	-	100
Williamson	37	14.6	-	-	-	53	2	13	18	3	-	-	11	100
All Other	2	2.4	-	-	-	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	100
Total	393	350.2	1	5	*	54	5	12	11	6	1	2	3	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 22. Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1957 - continued

District and County	Gins	1956 Ginnings	CA 119	Deltapine	Empire	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Mebane	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 5													
Bowie	4	7.2	-	80	-	-	-	9	-	-	9	2	100
Brazos	9	10.8	-	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	100
Cass	4	3.2	-	54	38	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Cherokee	5	3.5	-	51	6	33	-	5	-	5	-	-	100
Freestone	6	5.1	-	2	-	15	26	9	4	36	8	-	100
Grimes	10	10.4	-	83	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	10	100
Harrison	11	3.4	-	89	-	6	-	2	-	1	2	-	100
Hopkins	7	5.6	-	1	1	-	12	32	5	7	42	-	100
Houston	7	12.9	-	56	-	-	-	17	-	17	-	10	100
Leon	4	5.6	-	91	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	6	100
Madison	3	2.4	11	72	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	10	100
Nacogdoches	4	2.4	-	77	-	5	-	15	-	3	-	-	100
Red River	6	11.8	-	37	-	-	19	8	9	15	12	-	100
Robertson	12	27.2	-	74	-	-	8	-	3	4	-	11	100
Rusk	4	3.9	-	43	-	34	-	3	-	-	10	10	100
San Augustine	3	2.6	-	62	-	-	-	8	-	8	6	16	100
Shelby	7	5.3	-	80	-	9	-	2	-	-	4	5	100
Smith	9	3.7	13	23	-	46	-	13	-	5	-	-	100
Van Zandt	4	3.8	-	-	-	-	44	56	-	-	-	-	100
Walker	3	2.3	-	60	-	-	-	-	-	26	-	14	100
Waller	3	1.9	-	76	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	7	100
All Other	19	17.9	-	40	-	12	9	16	4	10	5	4	100
Total	144	155.3	*	59	1	5	6	8	2	7	4	8	100
District 6													
El Paso	24	77.6	65	-	2	-	2	31	-	-	-	-	100
Hudspeth	10	32.0	96	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	100
Pecos	8	52.5	95	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	2	-	100
Reeves	24	118.6	90	1	1	-	-	5	2	-	1	-	100
All Other	4	18.8	96	*	*	-	-	-	1	2	1	-	100
Total	70	299.5	85	*	1	*	1	11	1	*	1	-	100
District 7													
McCulloch	5	1.1	-	-	-	31	-	-	47	-	-	22	100
Tom Green	7	2.9	-	-	-	80	-	-	-	-	15	5	100
All Other	9	2.9	-	-	7	39	1	13	6	2	23	9	100
Total	21	6.9	-	-	3	56	*	5	10	1	16	9	100
District 8													
Austin	16	9.2	-	43	-	-	10	-	17	21	-	9	100
Bastrop	4	2.5	-	33	-	6	10	-	-	21	-	30	100
Bee	3	3.2	-	8	21	58	-	8	-	-	-	5	100
Burleson	10	16.3	-	91	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	8	100
Caldwell	6	1.9	-	14	-	27	3	2	19	13	-	22	100
Colorado	3	4.8	-	59	-	-	-	-	12	-	17	12	100
De Witt	5	2.1	-	5	-	31	-	47	12	-	-	5	100
Payette	18	7.6	-	27	-	2	1	-	20	24	10	16	100
Gonzales	3	.7	-	21	-	33	-	1	17	20	-	8	100
Guadalupe	6	.8	-	-	-	38	44	-	-	12	-	6	100
Hays	5	.6	-	-	-	-	37	-	54	-	-	9	100
Karnes	9	2.4	-	7	1	39	-	12	1	36	-	4	100
Lavaca	16	9.5	-	8	-	35	3	5	29	5	1	14	100
Lee	4	2.7	-	-	-	14	23	-	26	27	-	10	100
Nueces	29	63.5	49	17	1	-	-	19	-	-	8	6	100
Refugio	4	9.4	20	27	-	27	-	21	-	-	-	5	100
San Patricio	22	55.6	-	7	-	73	-	12	-	-	-	8	100
Travis	13	4.5	-	-	-	39	-	6	13	31	-	11	100
Washington	17	10.1	-	37	-	-	5	-	8	36	-	14	100
All Other	6	4.1	-	2	59	3	2	8	8	13	-	5	100
Total	199	211.5	15	22	2	24	2	11	5	7	3	9	100
District 9													
Brazoria	6	13.4	-	-	91	-	-	-	-	3	1	5	100
Calhoun	5	13.7	10	13	65	-	-	7	-	-	-	5	100
Fort Bend	22	35.4	-	-	86	-	1	-	-	1	4	8	100
Jackson	8	8.8	-	-	82	8	5	-	-	-	-	5	100
Matagorda	8	14.2	-	-	73	22	-	-	-	-	-	5	100
Victoria	9	11.6	-	-	10	22	44	9	-	10	-	5	100
Wharton	24	52.0	-	-	94	-	-	-	1	-	-	5	100
All Other	3	3.8	-	-	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	100
Total	85	152.9	1	1	81	4	4	1	*	1	1	6	100
District 10													
Cameron	41	143.5	-	3	53	15	-	5	-	-	19	5	100
Duval	3	2.1	-	-	-	-	38	22	33	-	-	7	100
Hidalgo	40	174.4	2	9	60	3	-	6	-	-	15	5	100
Jim Wells	4	8.9	-	-	-	-	58	32	-	-	-	10	100
Live Oak	3	.8	-	-	-	-	37	-	-	58	-	5	100
Maverick	3	7.1	-	-	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	100
Starr	4	3.4	-	-	58	-	-	-	12	16	-	14	100
Willacy	19	79.6	-	1	66	2	-	-	1	-	22	8	100
Zavala	4	14.1	-	25	44	1	-	-	-	-	-	30	100
All Other	6	8.5	-	-	47	-	-	-	17	16	-	20	100
Total	127	442.4	1	6	56	6	1	5	1	1	16	7	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 23. Other Southeastern and South Central States: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1953-57

State and Year	Ginnings	Bobshaw	Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Paula	Plains	Rowden	Sealand and Coastland	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Virginia														
1953	15.4	-	99	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1954	9.9	-	99	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1955	10.6	-	97	-	3	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1956	11.1	-	98	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1957	-	-	98	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Florida														
1953	13.8	-	66	-	7	1	-	-	7	-	4	2	13	100
1954	16.5	-	69	-	15	1	-	-	4	-	1	*	10	100
1955	14.8	-	58	-	6	1	-	-	18	-	2	5	10	100
1956	9.2	-	63	-	3	2	-	-	14	-	2	-	16	100
1957	-	-	67	-	7	5	1	-	11	-	1	-	8	100
Kentucky														
1953	6.5	-	-	-	83	1	14	-	-	-	-	-	2	100
1954	8.3	-	-	-	83	-	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1955	7.6	-	-	-	85	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1956	7.6	-	-	-	87	-	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1957	-	-	-	-	93	-	7	-	-	-	-	*	-	100
Illinois														
1953	1.7	-	-	-	12	30	32	-	-	-	-	24	2	100
1954	2.7	10	-	-	-	-	72	-	-	-	-	18	-	100
1955	1.8	10	-	10	1	12	56	-	-	-	-	11	-	100
1956	2.6	-	-	4	4	35	40	-	-	-	-	17	-	100
1957	-	-	-	11	2	-	74	-	-	-	-	*	13	100
Missouri														
1953	452.4	*	2	1	31	*	26	12	-	1	-	27	-	100
1954	456.0	*	1	4	30	*	40	4	-	1	-	20	-	100
1955	419.7	-	*	21	35	*	21	4	-	1	-	16	2	100
1956	446.6	-	*	29	33	*	21	2	-	1	-	13	1	100
1957	-	-	1	32	29	-	22	1	-	-	-	12	3	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 24. Western Area: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1953-57

State and Year	Ginnings	Acala 4-42	Acala 44	Acala 1517C	Deltapine	Mesilla Valley	Paymaster	Pima 32	Pima S-1	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Arizona												
1953	1,055	10	80	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	3	100
1954	900	6	80	4	-	-	-	*	3	-	7	100
1955	724	4	86	4	-	-	-	*	3	-	3	100
1956	823	6	83	4	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	100
1957	-	4	81	4	-	*	-	-	6	-	5	100
California												
1953	1,785	100	*	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
1954	1,512	99	1	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
1955	1,221	99	1	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
1956	1,466	97	1	-	2	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
1957	-	96	1	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
New Mexico												
1953	315	-	-	60	11	1	4	7	-	3	14	100
1954	296	-	-	71	6	2	6	2	-	3	10	100
1955	248	-	-	71	2	2	4	1	3	3	14	100
1956	283	-	-	79	1	1	3	1	1	1	13	100
1957	-	-	-	80	*	*	4	-	2	1	13	100
Texas - District 6												
1953	256	-	-	65	8	10	-	13	-	-	4	100
1954	270	-	-	78	2	3	-	6	1	1	9	100
1955	278	-	-	80	1	3	-	3	10	1	2	100
1956	299	-	-	93	*	1	*	1	3	1	1	100
1957	-	-	-	85	*	1	-	1	11	1	1	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 25. Western Area: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states and counties, crop of 1957

States and Counties	Gins	1956 Ginnings	Acala 4-42	Acala 33	Acala 44	Acala 1517C	Acala 2916	Acala WR-46	Mesilla Valley	Pima S-1	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Arizona												
Graham	7	23.0	-	-	12	36	2	9	1	40	-	100
Maricopa	55	348.7	2	4	86	-	-	-	-	7	1	100
Pima	12	54.4	-	-	86	3	-	-	-	11	-	100
Final	48	318.3	8	5	84	-	-	-	*	3	-	100
Yuma	11	53.7	7	1	92	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
All Other	6	24.8	-	-	-	92	-	-	-	-	8	100
Total	139	822.9	4	4	81	4	*	*	*	6	1	100
California												
			Acala 4-42	Acala 44	Coker 124	Delta-pine	Mesa Acala					Total
Fresno	65	374.2	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Imperial	16	92.6	50	5	1	44	-	-	-	-	-	100
Kern	74	422.8	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Kings	29	180.2	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Madera	15	70.2	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Merced	10	44.2	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Riverside	7	46.7	66	30	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	100
Tulare	45	234.7	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	261	1,465.6	96	1	*	3	*	-	-	-	-	100
New Mexico												
			Acala 1517C	Delta-pine	Empire	Lankart	Mesilla Valley	Paymaster	Pima S-1	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
Chaves	14	53.3	90	-	6	-	1	-	-	2	1	100
Dona Ana	16	69.7	91	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	100
Eddy	12	58.3	95	-	1	-	2	-	-	-	2	100
Lea	11	40.2	25	2	47	1	-	21	-	2	2	100
Luna	5	28.3	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Roosevelt	5	13.1	1	-	9	74	-	15	-	-	1	100
All Other	6	20.1	92	-	*	-	-	7	-	-	1	100
Total	69	283.0	80	*	8	4	*	4	2	1	1	100
Texas												
			Acala 1517C	Delta-pine	Mesilla Valley	Northern Star	Pima 32	Pima S-1	Spears	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
District 6												
El Paso	24	77.6	65	-	2	-	2	31	-	-	-	100
Hudspeth	10	32.0	96	-	*	-	-	4	-	-	-	100
Pecos	8	52.5	95	-	*	1	-	-	-	2	2	100
Reeves	24	118.6	89	1	1	-	*	5	1	2	1	100
All Other	4	18.8	96	-	*	*	-	-	-	1	3	100
Total	70	299.5	85	*	1	*	1	11	*	1	1	100

*. Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



1022919565

LIBRARY
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE